arXiv:1610.10037v1 [hep-th] 31 Oct 2016

November 1, 2016

Inflating Kahler Moduli and Primordial Magnetic Fields

Luis Aparicio[†], **Anshuman Maharana**[*]

[†]*Abdus Salam ICTP,*
Strada Costiera 11, Trieste 34014, Italy.

[*]*Harish Chandra Research Intitute,*
HBNI, Chattnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad - 211019, India.

Abstract

We study the production of primordial magnetic fields in inflationary models in type IIB string theory where the role of the inflaton is played by a Kahler modulus. We consider various possibilities to realise the Standard Model degrees of freedom in this setting and explicitly determine the time dependence of the inflaton coupling to the Maxwell term in the models. Using this we determine the strength and scale dependence of the magnetic fields generated during inflation. The usual "strong coupling problem" for primordial magnetogesis manifests itself by cycle sizes approaching the string scale; this appears in a certain class of fibre inflation models where the standard model is realised by wrapping D7-branes on cycles in the geometric regime.

electronic address: [†]laparici@ictp.it, [*]anshumanmaharana@hri.res.in

1 Introduction

Cosmological observations have established a scale invariant and adiabatic spectrum for the inhomogeneities. This has put the inflationary paradigm as the leading candidate for describing the early universe. In the next decade, more precision data is expected. It is hoped that this will help us develop an understanding of the inflationary potential. Two key observables which are likely to play an important role are the tensor to scalar ratio and non-Gaussianities. Another important aspect is the nature of the coupling of the inflaton to the Standard Model; this can have various implications for observations. In this context, one interesting phenomenon is the generation of primordial magnetic fields during inflation [1–14].

The scaling symmetry of the Maxwell action implies that there is no production of electromagnetic fields in a FRW background. To generate electromagnetic fields, the scaling symmetry has to be broken. There are two popular mechanisms for this. The first is the Ratra model which exploits the inflaton coupling to the Maxwell term in the Lagrangian; the time dependence of the inflaton is then responsible for breaking the scaling symmetry. Another avenue is to give the photon a time dependent mass term. Our focus will be on the former.

In recent years, there has been a revival in interest in primordial magnetic fields produced during inflation. This has been driven by the search for a mechanism to explain the observed magnetic fields in various scales, all the way upto the inter-galactic medium [15–17]. Although, there still remain many challenges in obtaining models which are phenomenologically viable (see for e.g. [14, 18]). It was also realised that the generation of primordial magnetic fields during inflation provides a source for non-Gaussianities [19–22]. This occurs via a feedback mechanism, the electromagnetic fields produced act as a source term in the equation governing inflaton fluctuations. In fact, the mechanism also implies correlations between the magnetic fields produced and the scalar metric perturbations. These satisfy consistency conditions analogous to the inflationary consistency conditions for scalar three point functions and can serve as a stringent testing ground for inflation [23]. Furthermore, the back reaction of the electromagnetic fields produced can impose constraints on the number of e-foldings during inflation; the strength of this back reaction has to be computed to check the validity of any model.

Inflationary dynamics is ultraviolet sensitive via the slow roll parameters. This makes string theory a natural framework to carry out inflationary model building. In string models the inflaton coupling to the Maxwell term is set by the gauge kinetic function – this fixes the functional form of the coupling. Apart from the inflationary potential the necessary inputs to compute this coupling are moduli stabilisation and the scenario for realising the Standard Model sector. In this paper we will focus on the Large Volume Scenario (LVS) for moduli

stabilisation [24,25] in IIB flux compactifications [26]. In IIB flux compactifications the complex structure moduli appear in the Gukov-Vafa-Witten superpotential [27], but Kahler moduli appear in the superpotential only via non-perturbative effects. The smallness of the non-perturbative effects give an approximately flat potential to the Kahler moduli; they are the candidate inflatons in LVS models. There are two scenarios for inflationary models - blow up [28] and fibre inflation [29] models. We will analyse both the cases. The study is apart of the broader goal to develop a systematic understanding of primordial magneto genesis in string models and see if this can serve as a distinguishing feature between various scenarios.

2 Review

2.1 Primordial Magnetic Fields From Inflation

As discussed in the introduction, scale invariance of the Maxwell action implies no production of electromagnetic fields in pure De Sitter space. The symmetry is broken by the inflaton-photon coupling

$$S = -\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x I^2(\phi) F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.1}$$

Gauge field production is best analysed by expressing the coupling I^2 in terms of conformal time (which we will denote by ζ). Our discussion shall be very brief, we closely follow [14]. Working in the gauge $A_0 = 0$, we take the Fourier decomposition of the spatial components of the gauge field to be:

$$A_i(x,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\sigma=1,2}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} A_k^\sigma(\zeta)\epsilon_i^\sigma(k)e^{i\vec{k}.\vec{x}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\epsilon_i^\sigma(k)$ are orthogonal polarisation vectors transverse to the momentum. Defining

$$v_k^\sigma = \sqrt{\epsilon_i^\sigma \epsilon_i^\sigma} I(\zeta) A_k^\sigma \tag{2.3}$$

the action reduces (2.1) to

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\sum_\sigma \int d^3k \left(\dot{v}_k^\sigma \dot{v}_{-k}^\sigma - \left[k^2 - \frac{\ddot{I}}{I}\right] v_k^\sigma v_{-k}^\sigma \right), \tag{2.4}$$

where dots indicate derivatives with respect to conformal time. From this we see that the mode functions are required to satisfy

$$\ddot{v}_k^\sigma + \omega^2(\zeta) v_k^\sigma = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\omega^2(\zeta) = k^2 - \ddot{I}/I$. For the analogue of the Bunch-Davies vacuum, the initial conditions for a sufficiently early time ζ_i are

$$v_k^\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega(\zeta_i)}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad v_k^\sigma = i\sqrt{\omega(\zeta_i)} \tag{2.6}$$

The power spectrum of the magnetic field can be expressed in terms of v_k^σ and is given by

$$\delta_B(k,\zeta) = \sum_\sigma \frac{|v_k^\sigma(\zeta)|^2 k^5}{4\pi^2 a^4 I^2} \tag{2.7}$$

The energy density in the electromagnetic field is also determined in terms of the mode functions:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{8\pi a^4}\int d^3k k^2 \left[|\dot{v}_k^\sigma(\zeta)|^2 - \frac{\dot{I}}{I}(|v_k^\sigma\dot{(\zeta)}|^2) + \left(\frac{\dot{I}^2}{I^2} + k^2\right)|v_k^\sigma(\zeta)|^2\right] \tag{2.8}$$

We will be interested in the late time behaviour (behaviour at the end of inflation) of the power spectrum of the magnetic field and the electromagnetic energy density. To compute these exactly, the equation for the mode functions has to be solve numerically. But, it is possible to obtain approximate expressions by matching early and late time solutions of (2.5). The solutions to (2.5) at early times are plane waves. On the other hand, if the $\ddot{I}/I \gg k^2$ (as is typically true at late times) the general solution to (2.5) is given by:

$$c_1 I(\zeta) + c_2 I(\zeta)\int_{\hat{\zeta}}^{\zeta} \frac{d\rho}{I^2(\rho)} \tag{2.9}$$

The constants c_1 and c_2 can be (approximately) determined by requiring the early time solution (with initial conditions as given in (2.6)) matches on to the late time solution (2.9) at the time of horizon exit. Having determined the constants c_1 and c_2, one can use (2.7) and (2.8) to obtain the power spectrum of magnetic fields and the energy density at the end of inflation.

2.2 Large Volume Scenario

As mentioned in the introduction, the models of inflation we will discuss will be in the framework of the Large Volume Scenario (LVS) for moduli stabilisation [24, 25]. Here we briefly review LVS (the reader can find a more detailed discussion in the references provided). Moduli stabilisation in LVS is achieved in two steps, first the stabilisation of complex structure moduli by three form fluxes and then the Kähler moduli. The effect of three form fluxes can be captured by the Gukov-Vafa-Witten [27] super potential

$$W = \int G_3 \wedge \Omega \tag{2.10}$$

where Ω is the holomorphic three form of the Calabi-Yau which solely depends on the complex structure moduli. After integrating out the complex structure moduli, the super potential for the Kahler moduli is given by

$$W = W_0 + \sum_i A_i e^{-a_i T_i} \tag{2.11}$$

where W_0 is the expectation value of the Gukov-Vafa-Witten super potential, the sum is over the Kahler moduli $T_i = \tau_i + ic_i$ (τ_i are the four cycle volumes and c_i their axionic partners). A_i

and a_i are constants. The LVS algorithm can be implemented to stabilise the Kahler moduli if the Calabi-Yau has negative Euler number and W_0 is of order unity. The stabilisation requires the inclusion of the leading α' correction to the Kahler potential, it takes the form [30]

$$K = -2\ln\left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\hat{\xi}}{2}\right), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\hat{\xi}$ is determined in terms of the Euler number of the Calabi-Yau and vev of the dilaton $\hat{\xi} = \frac{\chi}{2(2\pi)^3 g_s^{3/2}}$. The simplest models of LVS (which were also the ones to be discovered first [24, 25]) are the ones in which the volume of the Calabi-Yau takes the Swiss-cheese form:

$$\mathcal{V} = \alpha\left(\tau_1^{3/2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n}\lambda_i\tau_i^{3/2}\right). \tag{2.13}$$

The overall volume is controlled by τ_1, the geometric moduli $\tau_2, ..., \tau_n$ are blow-up modes which can be thought of as parameterising the size of holes in the compactification. The scalar potential in the regime $\mathcal{V} \gg 1$ and $\tau_1 \gg \tau_i$ (for $i > 1$) obtained from the the superpotential (2.11) and Kähler potential (2.12) is:

$$V_{\rm LVS} = \sum_{i=2}^{2}\frac{8(a_iA_i)^2\sqrt{\tau_i}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_i}e^{-2a_i\tau_i} - \sum_{i=2}^{n}\frac{4a_iA_iW_0}{\mathcal{V}^2}\tau_i e^{-a_i\tau_i} + \frac{3\hat{\xi}W_0^2}{4\mathcal{V}^3}\,. \tag{2.14}$$

where the phase of the axionic fields c_i have been adjusted so as to minimise the potential. The potential (2.14) has a minimum in the 'large volume limit' :

$$\mathcal{V} \to \infty \quad \text{with} \quad a_i\tau_i \approx \ln\mathcal{V}\,. \tag{2.15}$$

with a negative value of the cosmological constant. To obtain a minimum with almost vanishing cosmological constant an additional term has to be included in the effective action (for example anti-D3 branes in warped throats [31], dilaton-dependent non-perturbative effects [32] magnetised D7-branes [33, 34], or the effect of D-terms [35]). The uplift term has the form

$$V_{\rm up} = \frac{D}{\mathcal{V}^\gamma} \qquad \text{with} \quad D > 0\,, \tag{2.16}$$

with $1 \leq \gamma \leq 3$, the precise value of γ depends on the mechanism. The coefficient D has to be tuned so that the potential

$$V_{\rm LVS} = \sum_{i=2}^{2}\frac{8(a_iA_i)^2\sqrt{\tau_i}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_i}e^{-2a_i\tau_i} - \sum_{i=2}^{n}\frac{4a_iA_iW_0}{\mathcal{V}^2}\tau_i e^{-a_i\tau_i} + \frac{3\hat{\xi}W_0^2}{4\mathcal{V}^3} + \frac{D}{\mathcal{V}^\gamma} \tag{2.17}$$

has an approximately Minkowski minimum.

In the discussion so far, we have focused on CYs whose volume takes the Swiss cheese form. More generally, the volume takes the form

$$\mathcal{V} = f(\tau_j) - \sum_k \beta_k\tau_k^{3/2}, \tag{2.18}$$

where the k-sum runs over the point like blow up moduli and $f(\tau_j)$ is a homogeneous function of degree 3/2 of the other Kahler moduli (in the limit that the volume of the blow-up moduli is small, the overall volume is given by $f(\tau_j)$). Our interest shall be in the cases in which $f(\tau_j)$ has a fibration structure. To be concrete, consider a Calabi-Yau whose volume takes the form[1]

$$\mathcal{V} = \alpha\big(\sqrt{\tau_1}\tau_2 - \gamma\tau_3^{3/2}\big). \tag{2.19}$$

Considering the effect of the α' and non-perturbative effects in this setting one finds that τ_3 and $\mathcal{V}$ (which is approximately equal to the product $\sqrt{\tau_1}\tau_2$) are stabilised [36,37]. This leaves one direction in the moduli space unfixed, in order to stabilise this direction loop effects have to be considered. The relevant loop effects arise from the exchange of KK modes and winding modes (see [38,39] for detailed discussions). Parametrising the unfixed direction by τ_1, inclusion of loop effects, gives a contribution to the moduli potential:

$$V_{\rm loop} = g_s^2\frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^2}\left(\frac{A}{\tau_1^2} + \frac{C\tau_1}{\mathcal{V}^2}\right) - \frac{W_0^2}{\mathcal{V}^2}\frac{B}{\mathcal{V}\sqrt{\tau_1}}, \tag{2.20}$$

where A, B, C are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ constants. We will focus on the case where these constants are positive, since it is this case that gives viable inflationary phenomenology [29,40]. In this case, one finds that the potential has a minimum at

$$\tau_1 \approx \tau_2 \approx \mathcal{V}^{2/3}, \qquad a_3\tau_3 \approx \log\mathcal{V}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ is the stabilised value of the overall volume. Note that with this scaling all terms in (2.20) scale as $\mathcal{V}^{-10/3}$. As before, to obtain an approximately Minkowski vacuum one has to include an uplift contribution.

Next, we briefly recall the form of the gauge kinetic functions in realisations of the Standard Model in these constructions (see for e.g. [41]). This will help us to obtain the inflaton-photon couplings. There are essentially two possible realisations of the Standard model. The first is from D7 branes wrapping four cycles of the Calabi-Yau, in this case the gauge kinetic functions are given by

$$f_i = \frac{1}{4\pi}T + h(F_i)S \tag{2.22}$$

where T, is the modulus associated with the cycle that the D7 branes wrap. S is the axio-dilaton and $h(F_i)$ are loop suppressed factors which depend on the world volume fluxes. The second is from D3 branes at singularities, in this case the gauge kinetic functions are

$$f_i = S + hT \tag{2.23}$$

where T is the shirking cycle and h a loop factor.

[1]Reference [37] provides explicit examples of this. The base of the fibration is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ (the two cycle dual to τ_1) and the fibre is a $K3$ (the four cycle τ_2)

3 Time Dependence of Inflaton-Photon Couplings for Inflating Kahler Moduli

The basic idea behind inflating Kahler moduli is that inflation occurs when a Kahler modulus is rolling down to its global minimum in the above described moduli stabilisation scenarios. There are essentially two possibilities:

(i) *Blow-up Inflation*: The modulus responsible for inflation is a blow up modulus [28].

(ii) *Fibre Inflation*: The modulus responsible for inflation is a fibre modulus[2] [29].

Blow-up Inflation:

Here, we collect some aspects of blow-up inflation that will be useful for us later and obtain the expression for the inflaton-photon coupling in terms of conformal time. We refer the reader to [28] for details of the model and its phenomenology. For these models, the inflationary dynamics involves one of the blow-up moduli. For concreteness[3] we will take the form of the Kahler potential to be as in (2.13). Without loss of generality, we take τ_n to be the modulus displaced from its global minimum. For large values of $e^{a_n\tau_n}$ ($e^{a_n\tau_n} \gg \mathcal{V}^2$), one can approximate the potential by (2.17) :

$$V_{\rm inf} = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{8(a_iA_i)^2\sqrt{\tau_i}}{3\mathcal{V}\lambda_i} e^{-2a_i\tau_i} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{4a_iA_iW_0}{\mathcal{V}^2}\tau_i e^{-a_i\tau_i} + \frac{3\hat{\xi}W_0^2}{4\mathcal{V}^3} + \frac{D}{\mathcal{V}^\gamma} - \frac{4a_nA_nW_0}{\mathcal{V}^2}\tau_n e^{-a_n\tau_n}\,.$$

The inflaton (τ_n) now has an exponentially flat potential, while the other directions remain heavy. Integrating out these directions one finds the inflaton potential to be

$$V_{\rm inf}(\tau_n) = V_0 - \frac{4\tau_nW_0a_nA_n}{\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^2}\, e^{-a_n\tau_n} \qquad \text{with} \quad V_0 = \frac{\mathrm{W}_0^2}{\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^3}\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}$ is the value of the volume during the inflationary epoch and β an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ constant. Phenomenological considerations require $\mathcal{V}_{\rm in} \approx 10^5$. The field τ_n is not canonically normalised. The canonically normalised field in the large volume limit is

$$\sigma = M_{\rm pl}\sqrt{\frac{4\lambda_n}{3\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}}}\,\tau_n^{\frac{3}{4}}. \tag{3.2}$$

The inflationary potential in Planck units in terms of σ is[4] :

$$V = V_0 - \frac{4W_0a_nA_n}{\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^2}\left(\frac{3\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}}{4\lambda_n}\right)^{2/3}\sigma^{4/3}\exp\left[-a_n\left(\frac{3\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}}{4\lambda_n}\right)^{2/3}\sigma^{4/3}\right]. \tag{3.3}$$

[2]Recently, an inflationary scenario where a fibre modulus plays the role of the inflation has been proposed by making use of α' corrections to the effective action [43]. Our analysis with minor modifications also applies to this case.

[3]For a more general form of the Kahler potential the same analysis can be carried through.

[4]Note that this is similar to an exponential potential: $V(\sigma) = C_0(1 - e^{-b\sigma})$; with a large coefficient b rather than large field range for the inflaton.

Before proceeding further, we note Kähler moduli inflation suffers from an η-problem arising as a result of potential loop corrections to the Kähler potential. The issue has to be addressed by tuning parameters of the compactification.

In order to obtain the inflaton-photon coupling as a function of conformal time, one first needs to express the inflaton field excursion in terms of conformal time. To do this, we shall use the standard formula which relates the number of e-foldings and the inflaton field excursion

$$N_e(\sigma) = \int_{\sigma_{\rm end}}^{\sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon(\sigma)}}\, d\sigma\,. \tag{3.4}$$

where σ is the canonically normalised field, $\epsilon(\sigma)$ the slow roll parameter and $\sigma_{\rm end}$ the field value at which inflation ends. As section 2.2, the in IIB constructions the inflaton-photon coupling is naturally expressed in terms of the field τ_n (and not the canonically normalised field). Writing (3.4) in terms of the field τ_n we obtain:

$$\log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) = \frac{3\underline{\rm W}_0\lambda_n}{16\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^2 a_n A_n}\int_{\tau^{\rm end}}^{\tau_n} \frac{e^{a_n\tau_n}}{\sqrt{\tau_n}(a_n\tau_n-1)}\, d\tau_n \tag{3.5}$$

Examining the slow-roll parameters associated with the potential, one finds that inflation ends when $a_n\tau_n = \mathcal{O}(2\ln\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}))$, thus in the entire integration of (3.5), $a_n\tau_n \gg 1$. Using this to approximate the integrand, one finds:

$$\log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) = \frac{3\underline{\rm W}_0\lambda_n}{8\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^2 a_n^{3/2} A_n}\left[\frac{e^{a_n\tau_n}}{\sqrt{a_n\tau_n}} + {\rm i}\sqrt{\pi}\,{\rm erf}\left({\rm i}\sqrt{a_n\tau_n}\right)\right]_{\tau_n}^{\tau_n^{\rm end}}, \tag{3.6}$$

where ${\rm erf}(x)$ is the error-function. Using the asymptotic expansion of the error-function for $a_n\tau_n \gg 1$, one has

$$\log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) \approx \frac{3\underline{\rm W}_0\lambda_n}{16\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^2 a_n^{3/2} A_n}\left[\frac{e^{a_n\tau_n}}{(a_n\tau_n)^{3/2}}\right]_{\tau_n^{\rm end}}^{\tau_n}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us define

$$\Gamma \equiv \frac{3\underline{\rm W}_0\lambda_n}{16\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^2 a_n^{3/2} A_n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta \equiv \frac{\Gamma e^{a_n\tau_n^{\rm end}}}{(a_n\tau_n^{\rm end})^{3/2}}, \tag{3.8}$$

note that for typical values of the microscopic parameters in Kahler moduli inflation $\Gamma \sim \mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^{-2} \sim 10^{-10}$ while $\theta \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. Using which we write

$$\frac{e^{a_n\tau_n}}{(a_n\tau_n)^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma}\left[\log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) + \theta\right] \tag{3.9}$$

The above relation can be inverted using the ProductLog function to express τ_n in terms of ζ, giving[5]:

$$a_n\tau_n \approx -\frac{3}{2}{\rm ProductLog}\left[-1, -\frac{2}{3\Gamma^{-2/3}}\left(\log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) + \theta\right)^{-2/3}\right] \tag{3.10}$$

[5]For the definition of the ProductLog we follow the conventions of https://reference.wolfram.com/language/ref/ProductLog.html

For large values of ζ this well approximated by

$$a_n \tau_n \approx \log\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma}\left[\log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right)+\theta\right]\right) \tag{3.11}$$

Fibre Inflation:

Next, let us obtain the analogous expressions for fibre inflation [29, 40]. As discussed in section 2.2, the non-perturbative effects and α' corrections stabilise the blow-up cycles and the overall volume but leave the remaining Kahler moduli unfixed. For the fibration model given in equation (2.19) this leaves one unfixed modulus; in fibre inflation this direction acts as the inflaton. It is conventional to parametrise this direction by τ_1, the canonically normalised inflaton field φ is determined by the relation

$$\tau_1 = e^{\kappa\varphi}; \qquad \text{with} \qquad \kappa = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tag{3.12}$$

Tuning the coefficient of the uplift term such that the cosmological constant is vanishing at the global minimum and defining $\hat{\varphi}$ by $\hat{\varphi} = \varphi - \langle\varphi\rangle$; where $\langle\varphi\rangle$ is the value of φ at the global minimum[6], the inflaton potential can be obtained from (2.20)

$$V(\hat{\varphi}) = \frac{V_0}{\mathcal{V}^{10/3}}\left(3 - 4e^{-k\hat{\varphi}} - e^{-2k\hat{\varphi}} + R(e^{-k\hat{\varphi}}-1)\right) \tag{3.13}$$

where $V_0 = W_0^2\left(\frac{B^4}{256 g_s^2 A}\right)^{1/3}$ and $R = 4g_s^4\frac{AC}{B} \ll 1$ [29, 40]. For viable inflationary phenomenology $\mathcal{V}_{\rm in} \lesssim 10^4$ for $g_s = 0.1$. With this potential inflation takes place with $\hat{\varphi}$ starting off with a positive value and rolling down to its minimum. Inflation ends when $\hat{\varphi} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$. In the region of field space where inflation occurs the potential is well approximated by

$$V(\hat{\varphi}) = \frac{V_0}{\mathcal{V}_{\rm in}^{10/3}}\left(3 - 4e^{-k\hat{\varphi}}\right) \tag{3.14}$$

The relationship between the excursion of the inflaton and conformal time can be obtain from (3.4); one finds:

$$\log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) = \left[\frac{9}{4}e^{\kappa\hat{\varphi}} - \sqrt{3}\hat{\varphi}\right]_{\hat{\varphi}_{\rm end}}^{\hat{\varphi}}. \tag{3.15}$$

Again, it is possible to invert the relationship by using the ProductLog function

$$\hat{\varphi} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}\text{ProductLog}\left[-1, -\frac{9}{4}\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}}\text{e}^{-\kappa\Omega/\sqrt{3}}\right] - \frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{3}}, \tag{3.16}$$

where we have defined

$$\Omega \equiv \log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) + \frac{9}{4}e^{\kappa\hat{\varphi}_{\rm end}} - \sqrt{3}\hat{\varphi}_{\rm end}$$

[6]Reference [29] gives $\langle\varphi\rangle \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\log(\frac{4A\mathcal{V}g_s^2}{B})$

For large values of ζ the dependence, one finds

$$\tau_1 = e^{\kappa\varphi} = \alpha \log\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\rm end}}\right) + \beta \tag{3.17}$$

where α, β are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ positive constants determined in terms of A,B and C.

Recall our discussion in the section 2.2; the inflaton photon coupling is given by $I^2(\zeta) = \tau_{\rm sm}/4\pi$, where $\tau_{\rm sm}$ is the volume of the cycle on which the standard model degrees of freedom are localised . For blow-up inflation the coupling is the the strongest if we take this to be τ_1. Similarly, one can consider realising the standard model on the τ_1 cycle in case of fibre inflation[7]. The other possibility is to have the standard model degrees of freedom localised on a cycle other than the fibre modulus. Since the volume of the compactification is a constant during inflation, the volume of the cycle associated with the standard model degrees of freedom then scales inversely with the volume of τ_1; one has $I^2(\zeta) \propto {\tau_1}^{-p}$ (where p is a positive constant). The value of p depends on the form of the Kahler potential (see [43] for various possibilities of the form of the Kahler potential that can lead to fibre inflation). In our analysis in the next section we shall consider both the possibilities. In summary the equations (3.10) and (3.16) can be used to obtain the form of the time dependence of the coefficient of the Maxwell term for blow-up and fibre inflation models.

3.1 Primordial Magnetic Fields from Inflating Kahler Moduli

Having obtained the inflaton photon couplings, we are now ready to study magnetic field production during the inflationary epoch. As described in section 2.1, to do this we need to compute the late time behaviour of the mode functions v_k^σ with initial conditions as given in (2.6). Let us first compute these using the matching method outlined in section 2.1 (we will serve as an useful check the numerical we will perform later in the section in the section). In the regime that the term involving $I(\phi)$ can be neglected the solution satisfying the required initial conditions is

$$v_k(\zeta) \approx e^{ik(\zeta-\zeta_i)}. \tag{3.18}$$

Let $\zeta = \zeta_k$ be the time of horizon exit of the mode with wavenumber k ($\zeta_k \approx -1/k$)[8]. The constants c_1 and c_2 are determined by equating (3.18) and its first derivative with the late time solution (2.9) and its first derivative. This gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = e^{ik(\zeta-\zeta_k)} \begin{bmatrix} \left(I'(\zeta_k)\int_{\hat{\zeta}}^{\zeta_k} \frac{d\rho}{I^2(\rho)} + \frac{1}{I(\zeta_k)}\right) & -I(\zeta_k)\int_{\hat{\zeta}}^{\zeta_k} \frac{d\rho}{I^2(\rho)} \\ -I'(\zeta_k) & I(\zeta_k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \\ i\sqrt{k} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.19}$$

[7] In this case, obtaining a realistic value of the gauge couplings requires mild tuning of the microscopic parameter, as noted in [42]. We will not address this issue here.

[8] To be more accurate one can use $\ddot{I(\eta)}/I(\eta) \simeq k^2$ as the condition for horizon exit

Using the above for c_1 and c_2 and making use of the fact that c_2 is independent of $\hat{\zeta}$ we can write the late time solution as

$$\frac{e^{ik(\zeta_k-\zeta_i)}}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{I(\zeta)}{I(\zeta_k)}+e^{ik(\zeta_k-\zeta_i)}\Big(-\frac{I'(\zeta_k)}{\sqrt{k}}+i\sqrt{k}I(\zeta_k)\Big)I(\zeta)\int_{\zeta_k}^{\zeta}\frac{d\rho}{I^2(\rho)}. \tag{3.20}$$

This expresses the magnitude of the late time solution entirely in terms of k and the function $I(\zeta)$. Note that this is similar to the form of the solution derived in [11], but in the above form the integration limits are ζ_k and ζ (the dependence of the integration limits on the value of the conformal time at the end of inflation has been eliminated). The above form exhibits that the behaviour of at late times is determined properties of the integral

$$\int_{\zeta_k}^{\zeta}\frac{d\rho}{I^2(\rho)}\ , \tag{3.21}$$

in particular on whether the integral is dominated by the upper or lower limit of integration. The functions $I(\phi)$ for blow-up and fibre inflation were obtained in section 3.

Let us now compute the power spectrum of the magnetic field δ_B as defined in (2.7). We numerically integrate (2.5) over a range of k values (for a particular choice of the values of the microscopic parameters) and then use this to compute δ_B at the end of inflation. We exhibit our results for blow-up inflation in Figure 1. For this model best fit analysis gives the



Figure 1: Power spectrum for a Fibre inflation model with $I^2(\zeta)\propto\tau_1$; with $\tau_{\rm sm}^{\rm end}=\frac{4\pi}{g^2}\simeq 25$

slope of the power spectrum to be $s\simeq 2.002$ (with a peak towards higher values of k). For fibre inflation, we consider the two possibilities discussed at the end of section 3. Figure 2 exhibits a model with the $I^2(\zeta)\propto\tau_1$ (with $\tau_{\rm sm}^{\rm end}=\frac{4\pi}{g^2}\simeq 25$ at the end of inflation (where the subscript 'sm' indicates that the cycle is the one associated with the standard model degrees of freedom)) as required for a realistic value of the gauge coupling). For this, we find $s\simeq 2.02$. Figure 3 exhibits a model[9] with $I^2(\zeta)\propto\tau_1^{-1}$ (with $\tau_{\rm sm}^{\rm end}=\frac{4\pi}{g^2}\simeq 250$ at the end of inflation). For this, we find $s\simeq 1.97$. Note that in this case the gauge coupling at the end of inflation

[9]This corresponds to $p=1$, as per the notation introduced in section 3. We will focus on this case, the analysis can be easily generalised to other values of p.



Figure 2: Power spectrum for a Fibre inflation model with $I^2(\zeta) \propto \tau_1$; with $\tau_{\rm sm}^{\rm end} = \frac{4\pi}{g^2} \simeq 25$



Figure 3: Power spectrum for a Fibre inflation model with $I^2(\zeta) \propto \tau_1^{-1}$; with $\tau_{\rm sm}^{\rm end} = \frac{4\pi}{g^2} \simeq 250$

is one order of magnitude below what is required for realistic model building. For models with $I^2(\zeta) \propto \tau_1^{-1}$ and realistic values of the gauge coupling at the end of inflation, numerical evolution (backwards in time) shows that the cycle size falls approaches the string scale during the inflationary epoch before 60 e-foldings are achieved. Hence the effective field theory cannot be trusted. Figure 4 gives the plot of the evolution of the cycle size in this case. This is the manifestation of the "strong coupling problem" for primordial magnetogenesis in this setting. The strong coupling problem is one of the major challenges for obtaining inflationary models of primordial magnetogenesis which can explain the observed magnetic fields in the megaparsec scale (see for e.g. [14, 18]). In this setting, effective field theory breaks down, invalidating the analysis from the point of field theory. Although the effective field theory and breaks downs, there is a new effective field theory which can be used to describe the regime in which the cycle size is well below the string scale (see [44] for a summary of the form of the Kahler potential and the super potential in the singular regime). It will be interesting to explore if this can be used to shed light on the strong coupling problem; we leave this direction for future work (as this requires knowledge of how to describes the system during its transition from the geometric to the singular regime which at present is not available).



Figure 4: Evolution of the cycle size backwards in time for a fibre inflation model with $I^2(\zeta) \propto \tau_1^{-1}$ and $\tau_{\rm sm}^{\rm end} = \frac{4\pi}{g^2} \simeq 25$ at the end of inflation. The cycle size approaches the string scale for $N_e \approx 55$ (the number of e-foldings of the universe); a manifestation of the strong coupling problem.

Next, let us obtain the strength of the magnetic field in the present epoch. The magnetic field falls of as a^{-2} with the expansion of the universe. For fibre inflation the reheating epoch is matter dominated with quanta of the fibre modulus, which is followed by a thermal history. The post-inflationary history for blow-up inflation was discussed in detailed in [45]. The expansion of the universe after inflation (a_0/a_f) in both case can be obtained using the discussion in [45,46] (to determine the number of e-foldings that the universe undergoes in the epochs in which the energy density is dominated by moduli quanta) and demanding conservation of entropy after the last epoch of reheating. Using this, for fibre inflation we find $(a_0/a_f)_{\rm fib} \simeq 10^{31}$, on the other hand for blow-up inflation $(a_0/a_f)_{\rm bl} \simeq 10^{30}$. For a model of blow-up inflation with microscopic parameters as in Figure 1, using this and the result of the numerical evaluation described earlier in the section we find the magnitude of the magnetic field at the megaparsec scale $\delta_B \simeq 10^{-57} G$ for the model. For the fibre inflation model with microscopic parameters as in Figure 2 the magnetic field in the megaparsec scale is $\delta_B \simeq 10^{-58} G$; while for the model of Figure 3, $\delta_B \simeq 10^{-57} G$. We note that the strength of the magnetic field at the present epoch is well below the observational bounds and is of the same magnitude as for the racetrack models discussed in [47]. A more accurate determination of the strength of the magnetic fields requires understanding their evolution during reheating (see [48] for a recent discussion), which is beyond the scope of the present work.

Finally, let us estimate the back reaction caused from the production of electromagnetic fields in these models. The contributions of modes of wavelength in the sub horizon scale would be renormalised thus the integral in (2.8) runs over from the mode to exit the horizon first till the modes exiting the horizon at the end of inflation. The integral is dominated by the high k

modes; this gives the energy density in both cases to be

$$\epsilon \simeq H_I^4$$

Thus the models are safe from the point of view of back reaction from the production of electromagnetic fields.

4 Conclusions

We have analysed primordial magnetic field production for inflating Kahler moduli in the large volume scenario for moduli stabilisation by considering various possibilities for realising the Standard Model sector. The study is part of the broader goal of trying to develop a systematic understanding of the generation of primordial magnetic fields in string models. We determined the scale dependence and strength of the power spectrum - δ_B. The strength of the magnetic fields in the present epoch is found to be well below the observational bounds. For fibre inflation models in which the standard model cycle shrinks during inflation, there is a strong coupling problem. We found that geometrically this corresponds to a cycle size becoming less than the string scale – it will be interesting to explore if the effective field theory in the singular regime can shed any light on the issue. Since fibre inflation models predict a higher value of the tensor to scalar ratio in comparison to most string models, it will be interesting to explore whether the "strong coupling problem" arises in other string models which predict a high value of r to see if this is a generic feature. Finally, we have estimated the energy density produced and found that this does not lead to any back reaction problems for the models.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Koushik Dutta for discussions. AM is partially supported by a Ramanujan Fellowship. AM would like to thank ICTP, Trieste for hospitality.

References

[1] A. Kandus, K. E. Kunze and C. G. Tsagas, Phys. Rept. **505** (2011) 1 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2011.03.001 [arXiv:1007.3891 [astro-ph.CO]].

[2] M. S. Turner and L. M. Widrow, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 2743 (1988);

[3] A. Dolgov and J. Silk, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 3144 (1993);

[4] A. Dolgov, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2499 (1993);

[5] O. Tornkvist, A. C. Davis, K. Dimopoulos and T. Prokopec astro-ph/0011278;

[6] B. Ratra, Astrophys. J. **391**, L1 (1992);

[7] D. Lemoine and M. Lemoine, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1955 (1995);

[8] M. Gasperini, M. Giovannini and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3796 (1995);

[9] B. A. Bassett, G. Pollifrone, S. Tsujikawa and F. Viniegra, Phys. Rev D **63**, 103515 (2001);

[10] M. Giovannini, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) R1 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/23/2/R01 [astro-ph/0508544].

[11] K. Bamba and M. Sasaki, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 02 (2007) 030;

[12] M. Gasperini, Astron. Nachr. **327**, 399 (2006);

[13] D. Seery, JCAP **0908** (2009) 018 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/018 [arXiv:0810.1617 [astro-ph]].

[14] V. Demozzi, V. Mukhanov and H. Rubinstein, JCAP **0908** (2009) 025 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/08/025 [arXiv:0907.1030 [astro-ph.CO]].

[15] A. Brandenburg and K. Subramanian, Phys. Rep. **417**, 1 (2005);

[16] D. Grasso and H. Rubinstein, Phys. Rep. **348**, 163 (2001);

[17] A. Dolgov, hep-ph/0110293;

[18] D. Green and T. Kobayashi, JCAP **1603** (2016) no.03, 010 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/03/010 [arXiv:1511.08793 [astro-ph.CO]].

[19] N. Barnaby and M. Peloso, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 181301 (2011) [arXiv:1011.1500 [hep-ph]].

[20] N. Barnaby, R. Namba and M. Peloso, JCAP **1104**, 009 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4333 [astro-ph.CO]].

[21] N. Barnaby, R. Namba and M. Peloso, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 123523 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.123523 [arXiv:1202.1469 [astro-ph.CO]].

[22] S. Nurmi and M. S. Sloth, JCAP **1407** (2014) 012 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/07/012 [arXiv:1312.4946 [astro-ph.CO]].

[23] R. K. Jain and M. S. Sloth, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 123528 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.123528 [arXiv:1207.4187 [astro-ph.CO]].

[24] V. Balasubramanian, P. Berglund, J. P. Conlon, and F. Quevedo, "Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications," *JHEP* **03** (2005) 007, [arXiv:hep-th/0502058].

[25] J. P. Conlon, F. Quevedo and K. Suruliz, "Large-volume flux compactifications: Moduli spectrum and D3/D7 soft supersymmetry breaking," JHEP **0508** (2005) 007 [hep-th/0505076].

[26] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, "Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications," Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 106006 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.66.106006 [hep-th/0105097].

[27] S. Gukov, C. Vafa and E. Witten, "CFT's from Calabi-Yau four folds," Nucl. Phys. B **584** (2000) 69 [Erratum-ibid. B **608** (2001) 477] [hep-th/9906070].

[28] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, "Kahler moduli inflation," JHEP **0601** (2006) 146 [hep-th/0509012].

[29] M. Cicoli, C. P. Burgess and F. Quevedo, "Fibre Inflation: Observable Gravity Waves from IIB String Compactifications," JCAP **0903** (2009) 013 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2009/03/013 [arXiv:0808.0691 [hep-th]].

[30] K. Becker, M. Becker, M. Haack and J. Louis, "Supersymmetry breaking and alpha-prime corrections to flux induced potentials," JHEP **0206** (2002) 060 [hep-th/0204254].

[31] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, "De Sitter vacua in string theory," Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 046005 [hep-th/0301240].

[32] M. Cicoli, A. Maharana, F. Quevedo and C. P. Burgess, "De Sitter String Vacua from Dilaton-dependent Non-perturbative Effects," JHEP **1206** (2012) 011 [arXiv:1203.1750 [hep-th]]; A. Retolaza and A. Uranga, "De Sitter Uplift with Dynamical Susy Breaking," arXiv:1512.06363 [hep-th].

[33] C. P. Burgess, R. Kallosh and F. Quevedo, "De Sitter string vacua from supersymmetric D terms," JHEP **0310**, 056 (2003) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/10/056 [hep-th/0309187].

[34] M. Cicoli, D. Klevers, S. Krippendorf, C. Mayrhofer, F. Quevedo and R. Valandro, "Explicit de Sitter Flux Vacua for Global String Models with Chiral Matter," JHEP **1405** (2014) 001 doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)001 [arXiv:1312.0014 [hep-th]]; M. Cicoli, F. Quevedo and R. Valandro, "De Sitter from T-branes," JHEP **1603** (2016) 141 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)141 [arXiv:1512.04558 [hep-th]].

[35] A. P. Braun, M. Rummel, Y. Sumitomo and R. Valandro, "De Sitter vacua from a D-term generated racetrack potential in hypersurface Calabi-Yau compactifications," JHEP **1512** (2015) 033 doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2015)033 [arXiv:1509.06918 [hep-th]].

[36] M. Cicoli, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, "General Analysis of LARGE Volume Scenarios with String Loop Moduli Stabilisation," JHEP **0810** (2008) 105 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/105 [arXiv:0805.1029 [hep-th]].

[37] M. Cicoli, M. Kreuzer and C. Mayrhofer, JHEP **1202** (2012) 002 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2012)002 [arXiv:1107.0383 [hep-th]].

[38] M. Berg, M. Haack and E. Pajer, JHEP **0709** (2007) 031 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/031 [arXiv:0704.0737 [hep-th]].

[39] M. Cicoli, J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, "Systematics of String Loop Corrections in Type IIB Calabi-Yau Flux Compactifications," JHEP **0801** (2008) 052 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/01/052 [arXiv:0708.1873 [hep-th]];

[40] C. P. Burgess, M. Cicoli, S. de Alwis and F. Quevedo, "Robust Inflation from Fibrous Strings," arXiv:1603.06789 [hep-th].

[41] M. Cicoli and A. Mazumdar, "Reheating for Closed String Inflation," JCAP **1009** (2010) 09, 025 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2010/09/025 [arXiv:1005.5076 [hep-th]].

[42] C. P. Burgess, M. Cicoli, M. Gomez-Reino, F. Quevedo, G. Tasinato and I. Zavala, JHEP **1008** (2010) 045 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2010)045 [arXiv:1005.4840 [hep-th]].

[43] M. Cicoli, D. Ciupke, S. de Alwis and F. Muia, arXiv:1607.01395 [hep-th].

[44] L. Aparicio, M. Cicoli, S. Krippendorf, A. Maharana, F. Muia and F. Quevedo, JHEP **1411** (2014) 071 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2014)071 [arXiv:1409.1931 [hep-th]].

[45] M. Cicoli, K. Dutta, A. Maharana and F. Quevedo, arXiv:1604.08512 [hep-th].

[46] K. Dutta and A. Maharana, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) no.4, 043503 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.043503 [arXiv:1409.7037 [hep-ph]].

[47] K. Bamba, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 043509 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.043509 [arXiv:1411.4335 [astro-ph.CO]].

[48] P. Adshead, J. T. Giblin, T. R. Scully and E. I. Sfakianakis, arXiv:1606.08474 [astro-ph.CO].

[49] J. P. Conlon and F. Quevedo "Astrophysical and cosmological implications of large volume string compactifications" JCAP **0708**, (2007) 019 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2007/08/019 arXiv:0705.3460 [hep-ph]

[50] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2015 results. XX. Constraints on inflation," arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO].

[51] M. Cicoli, G. Tasinato, I. Zavala, C. P. Burgess and F. Quevedo, "Modulated Reheating and Large Non-Gaussianity in String Cosmology," JCAP **1205** (2012) 039 [arXiv:1202.4580 [hep-th]].